City of Las Vegas

AGENDA MEMO

CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATE: JUNE 6, 2007
DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION: VAR-20219 - APPLICANT/OWNER: CENTEX HOMES

** CONDITIONS **

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-3/se/sd/ld vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to:

Planning and Development

- 1. A minimum of 15,000 square feet of open space shall be provided.
- 2. Conformance to the conditions for General Plan (GPA-20216), Rezoning (ZON-20217), Variance (VAR-20419), Waiver (WVR-20568) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-20220) if approved.
- 3. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless a certificate of occupancy has been issued or upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.
- 4. In lieu of compliance with the open space requirements of Municipal Code 19.06.040, the developer will be allowed to make a contribution to the City of Las Vegas Parks CIP Fund in the amount of four dollars for every square foot of open space waived, to be utilized by the City Council for improvements to existing public parks nearby. This contribution must be made to Land Development prior to approval of a Final Map, otherwise the developer is still required to comply with the Open Space requirement in accordance with Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.

** STAFF REPORT **

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This is a request for a Variance to allow 10,288 square feet of open space where 46,718 square feet is the minimum required on 4.91 acres 300 feet south of Lake Mead Boulevard and the west side of Rock Springs Drive.

The request for Variance to deviate from the required open space is a self-imposed hardship and denial is recommended.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Related Relevant	City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.					
08/07/91	The City Council approved a Rezoning (Z-0056-91) from N-U (Non-urban)					
	to C-V (Civic).					
12/02/92	The City Council approved an Extension of Time [Z-0056-91(1)] of an					
	approved Rezoning.					
01/19/94	The City Council approved an Extension of Time [Z-0056-91(2)] of an					
	approved Rezoning.					
09/06/06	The City Council approved to Amend (GPA-14318) a portion of the					
	Southwest Sector Plan of the Master Plan from PF (Public Facilities) to H					
	(High Density Residential), a Rezoning (ZON-14321) from U (Undeveloped)					
	[PF (Public Facilities) Master Plan Designation] to R-4 (High Density					
	Residential), a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-14323) for a proposed					
	three-story, 92-unit residential condominium development, and a Variance					
	(VAR-14322) to allow three stories where two stories or 35 feet is the					
	maximum height on 4.43 acres adjacent to the west side of Rock Springs					
	Drive, approximately 300 feet south of Lake Mead Boulevard. Staff					
	recommended denial, while the Planning Commission recommended approval.					
04/26/07	The Planning Commission recommended approval of companion items ZON-					
	20217, WVR-20568, VAR-20419 and SDR-20220 and failed to obtain a					
	super majority vote which is tantamount to denial of GPA-20216 concurrently					
	with this application.					
	The Planning Commission voted 4-3/se/sd/ld to recommend APPROVAL (PC Agenda Item #16/jm).					

Pre-Application Meeting					
02/16/07	A pre-application meeting was held. Staff informed the applicant that the				
	single family detached development proposal required a general plan				
	amendment, rezoning, variance for minimum lot size in a Residential Planned				
	Development zone, and a site development plan review. Submittal				
	requirements were then discussed in detail.				
Neighborhood Meeting					
	A neighborhood meeting was held at 6:00 pm at Summerlin Lutheran Church,				
03/15/07	1911 Pueblo Vista Drive. No citizens attended.				

Details of Application Request			
Site Area			
Gross Acres	3.98		

Surrounding Property	Existing Land Use	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning	
			U (Undeveloped) [PF	
			(Public Facilities)	
		H (High Density	Master Plan	
Subject Property	Undeveloped	Residential)	Designation]	
			U (Undeveloped) Zone	
			under Resolution of	
			Intent to C-1 (Limited	
			Commercial) [SC	
			(Service Commercial)	
		SC (Service	Master Plan	
North	Retail	Commercial)	Designation]	
			U (Undeveloped) [PF	
			(Public Facilities)	
			Master Plan	
South	School	PF (Public Facilities)	Designation]	
		SC (Service	C-1 (Limited	
East	Retail	Commercial)	Commercial)	
		SC (Service	C-1 (Limited	
West	Offices	Commercial)	Commercial)	

Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Area Plan	X		Y
Airport Overlay Zone (175 feet)	X		Y
Special Purpose and Overlay Districts		X	N/A
Trails		X	N/A
Rural Preservation Overlay District		X	N/A
Development Impact Notification Assessment		X	N/A
Project of Regional Significance		X	N/A

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Pursuant to Title 19.08, the following standards apply:

the sum of the state of the sta					
Standard	Provided				
Min. Lot Size	1,440 SF				
Min. Lot Width	32 Feet				
Min. Setbacks from Building					
• Front	5 Feet				
• Side	Zero Feet				
• Rear	5 Feet				
	3 stories,				
Max. Building Heights	35 feet				

Existing Zoning	Permitted Density	Units Allowed
U (Undeveloped) Under	50 Units Per Acre	245
Resolution of Intent to R-4		
Proposed Zoning	Permitted Density	Units Allowed
R-PD14	14 Units Per Acre	65
Proposed General Plan	Permitted Density	Units Allowed
M (Medium Density	25.49 Units per Acre	125
Residential)		

Pursuant to Title 19.12, the following Landscape Standards apply:

Landscaping and Open Space Standards						
Standards	Required Trees		Provided	Compliance		
Buffer:						
Min. Trees	1 Tree/ 30 Linear Feet	10 Trees	19 Trees	Y		
Min. Zone Width						
along Rock Springs						
Road.	6 Fee	6 Feet	Y			
Wall Height	8 Fee	Not shown	NA			

Open Space – R-PD only							
Total	Density		Required	!	Provided		Compliance
Acreage		Ratio	Percent	Area	Percent	Area	
4.91	13.23	1.65	22%	46,688	5%	10,288	N*

^{*}A Variance (VAR-20219) has been requested.

Pursuant to Title 19.10, the following parking standards apply:

Parking Requirement	
The project is required to provide a minimum of two parking spaces per dwelling unit.	This meets
Title 19.10 parking requirements.	

ANALYSIS

The proposed residential planned development is requesting that 10,288 square feet of open space be permitted where 46,718 square feet is the minimum required, which is a 78 percent deviation from standards. The open space that has been provided within the 65-lot single-family subdivision is located within the interior landscape area of the site. Proposed in the open space is a four foot sidewalk that traverses the interior of the site. No common open space recreation facility has been provided within the subject property. The Bettye Wilson Soccer Complex is northwest of the proposed residential project across Lake Mead on Tenaya Way.

The purpose of the R-PD District is to allow maximum flexibility to permit imaginative and innovative residential design and to utilize land for the development of residential communities which are planned and developed with appropriate amenities to establish a clear sense of community. It is intended to promote the enhancement of residential amenities by means of an efficient consolidation and utilization of open space, separation of pedestrian and vehicular traffic and a homogeneity of use patterns. The proposed 65-lot single-family residential subdivision lacks innovative residential design and the required amount of open space for an R-PD District. Due to the incompatibility with the area and lack of innovative residential design and open space, denial of this application is recommended.

The minimum site lot size for the proposed development is 1,440 square feet. For the applicant to meet the open space standards for an R-PD (Residential Planned Development) the site would result in a loss of approximately 25 units.

Staff is recommending denial of the requested Variance to allow the reduction of open space requirements.

FINDINGS

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

- 1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
- 2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;
- 3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature."

Additionally, Title 19.18.070L states:

"Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any zoning regulation would result in peculiar and exceptional practical

VAR-20219 - Staff Report Page Five June 6, 2007, City Council Meeting

difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, a variance from that strict application may be granted so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution."

No evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance has been presented, in that the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship. Alternative design with less number of units or subterranean parking would allow conformance to the Title 19 requirements. In view of the absence of any hardships imposed by the site's physical characteristics, it is concluded that the applicant's hardship is preferential in nature, and it is thereby outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances.

6

ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 37 SENATE DISTRICT 6 NOTICES MAILED 152 by City Clerk APPROVALS 0 PROTESTS 0